

Minutes:

1. Facilitator
  - a. More to say about facilitator later, been back and forth, still working on it. Julie has asked for one form DWR (they would pay), still working on that for through Oct. 2008
  - b. Possibility of getting a dif. Facilitator from area? Center for Collaborative Policy? Or them identifying someone for E. Sierra? ALIMAR (Geoff to find out)? Kerry Gut rod? Request for Planning Committee for facilitator
2. SNC Grant
  - a. Submitted week ago
  - b. Mark thinks we have good chance
  - c. Decision to be made sooner than may but not sure exact date
  - d. Discussion of line items in budget
  - e. Discussion of \$4000 per diem for NGOs
  - f. Caltrout host info on website.
  - g. Submit documentation of in-kind donations monthly? Bi-monthly.
    - i. Mark going to come up with template to be filled out once a month. Will send out procedure.
  - h. How do we want to go forward with grant writer/ candidate selection? Paula brown at E. Sierra Institute for Collaborative Education also candidate for grant writing, dave varner, mark had suggestion can't remember.
  - i. April 21- have all letters of interest of grant writers by then, decide grant writer then predicated on available funding by vote
  - j. April 16 COB to distribute letters of interest to CC for comment, April 18 discuss letters of interest at 2pm-3pm input and phone call (conference call to mark to set up)
  - k. Shoot for May 5 wrap up
  - l. Rebecca to set up RFP send out by end of week, get their response of candidates in by wed. april 16, then circulate to rest of planning committee to give people review before April 21- Mark could create matrix to create ranking- Julie called SNC to ask if RFP required –
    - i. Letter of interest, project team and available technical resources, writing sample, proposed budget for RFP, bio of grant writer, references, experience in Inyo-Mono with water and partners in Planning Committee and IRWMPs/DWR/state grant writing
    - ii. Criteria to consider: strive to keep funding local (mark and Rebecca to come up with matrix and distribute for comment)
    - iii. Money to get grant money to write plan. Make this distinction in RFP and include example outline. Scope of application 4-500,000 to then write the actual plan.
    - iv. What's the difference for IRWMP grant writing? (Geoff) need plan that draws info from all participants (list of all their plans), have them buy into process (not typically grant writer's forte).
    - v. Bob to convert PDF of Inyo plan to MW- figure out distribution
3. MOU review

- a. Mono County still reviewing
  - b. MLC still reviewing
  - c. LADWP could be month
    - i. Don't like indefinite timeline
    - ii. Need to include inyo-mono rivers
  - d. Caltrout had same comments from those already included
  - e. Issues Julie pulled out:
    - i. Indefinite timeline
      - 1. Recommend timeline for end of MOU?
      - 2. Geographic boundaries- finalize later and put in MOU later
      - 3. tighten up financial obligations (contingency funding), explicitly explain
        - a. DWR encourages contingency funding so will show commitment to the IRWMP- Julie gave some examples: Keep it in but soften the language? May or may not provide funding- bob, we will provide contingency funding in the event grant from DWR doesn't come through
      - 4. take out executive director clause? or intent to hire one/ would decide hiring process later?
      - 5. Planning committee vs. signatories of MOU
      - 6. consensus process- only consensus, revisit in year, bob- better for intrigues etc. working for consensus rather than 75% supermajority
4. Meeting April 21 outcomes
  - a. Review MOU changes and recommendations from CC committee
5. Next CC Committee
  - a.